

The NEW ExecutiveInsite Report


Prepared for: Classis Georgetown - Jenison
 Study area: 2 mile radius - 1571 Baldwin St Jenison MI 49428

Base State: MICHIGAN
 Current Year Estimate: 2012
 5 Year Projection: 2017
 Date: 3/11/2013
 Semi-Annual Projection: Fall

This ExecutiveInsite Report has been prepared for Classis Georgetown - Jenison. Its purpose is to "tell the demographic story" of the defined geographic study area. ExecutiveInsite integrates narrative analysis with data tables and graphs. Playing on the report name, it includes 12 "Insites" into the study area's story. It includes both demographic and beliefs and practices data.

ExecutiveInsite is intended to give an overview analysis of the defined geographic study area. A defined study area can be a region, a zip code, a county or some custom defined geographic area such as a radius or a user defined polygon. The area of study is displayed in the map below.

THE 12 INSITES	
INSITE	PAGE
Insite #1: Population, Household Trends	2
Insite #2: Racial/Ethnic Trends	3
Insite #3: Age Trends	4
Insite #4: School Aged Children Trends	6
Insite #5: Household Income Trends	7
Insite #6: Households and Children Trends	9
Insite #7: Marital Status Trends	10
Insite #8: Adult Educational Attainment	11
Insite #9: Employment and Occupations	12
Insite #10: Mosaic Household Types	13
Insite #11: Charitable Giving Practices	14
Insite #12: Religious Practices	15



More Information

Please refer to the last page of the report for additional notes and interpretation aides in reading the report. Not all of the demographic variables available in the MI System are found in this report. The FullInsite Report will give a more comprehensive view of an area's demographics and ViewPoint a fuller view of its beliefs and practices.

INSITE #1: POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD TRENDS

Population:

The estimated 2012 population within the study area is 25,693. The 2017 projection would see the area grow by 1,195 to a total population of 26,888. The population within the study area is growing somewhat faster than the statewide growth rate. While the study area is projected to grow by 4.7% in the next five years, the state is projected to remain stable at -0.1%. The study area's estimated average change rate is 0.9%.

Households:

The households within the community are growing faster than the population, thus the average population per household in 2010 was 2.64 but by 2017 it is projected to be 2.63. Compare this to the statewide average which for the current year is estimated at 2.55 persons per household.



Population Per Household

Population per Household: The relationship between population and households provides a hint about how the community is changing. When population grows faster than households, it suggests an increase in the persons per household. This can only happen when more persons are added either by birth or other process such as young adults in multiple roommate households or young adults returning to live with parents. In some communities this can occur when multiple families live in the same dwelling unit.

Family Households:

Family households provide an additional hint about the changing dynamics of a community. If family household growth follows population growth, then it would be reasonable to assume that the increasing population per household comes from additional children. This is the case within the the study area. Family households are growing as fast as the population suggesting that the increasing population per household is from additional children.

<i>Population/Households & Family Trends</i>					
	2000	2010	2012	2017	2022
Population	24,642	25,268	25,693	26,888	28,285
Population Change		626	425	1,195	1,397
Percent Change		2.5%	1.7%	4.7%	5.2%
Households	8,695	9,587	9,755	10,220	10,757
Households Change		892	168	465	10,757
Percent Change		10.3%	1.8%	4.8%	5.3%
Population / Households	2.83	2.64	2.63	2.63	2.63
Population / Households Change		0	0	0	0
Percent Change		-7.0%	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.1%
Families	6,950	7,286	7,411	7,770	
Families Change		336	125	359	
Percent Change		4.8%	1.7%	4.8%	




NOTE: Family Household data is not projected out 10 years.


INSITE #2: RACIAL-ETHNIC TRENDS

The US population's racial-ethnic diversity is continually adding new and rich cultural mixes. This data considers the five groups for which trending information is available. Please note that several groups are aggregated into a single category due to their smaller size. Those persons who indicated Hispanic or Latino ethnicity along with a racial category have been separated into a Hispanic or Latino category.

The Population: Racial/Ethnic Trends table provides the actual numbers and percentage of the total population for each of the five racial/ethnic categories. Pay special attention to the final column on the right. This will quickly indicate the direction of change from the last census to the current five year projection.



The Racial Ethnic Trends graph displays history and projected change by each racial/ethnic group.



This chart shows the percentage of each group for the current year estimate.

The percentage of the population...

Asian (Non-Hisp) is projected to remain about the same over the next five years.

Black/African American (Non-Hisp) is projected to remain about the same over the next five years.

White (Non-Hisp) is projected to remain about the same over the next five years.

Hispanic or Latino is projected to remain about the same over the next five years.

Race and Ethnicity	2010	2012	2017	2010%	2012 %	2017 %	2010 to 2017 Change
Asian (NH)	319	325	342	1.26%	1.26%	1.27%	0.01%
Black/Afr Amer (NH)	220	222	233	0.87%	0.86%	0.87%	0.00%
White (NH)	23,645	24,047	25,168	93.58%	93.59%	93.60%	0.02%
Hispanic/Latino	681	690	719	2.70%	2.69%	2.67%	-0.02%
P Is/Am In/Oth (NH)	403	409	427	1.59%	1.59%	1.59%	-0.01%
Totals:	25,268	25,693	26,889				

INSITE #3: AGE TRENDS

A community's age structure and how it is changing is an important part of its story. Overall, the American Population has been aging as the Baby Boomers progress through each phase of life. This has been abetted by episodes of declining live births. However this picture may particularize differently from community to community. There are communities in the US where the average age is lower than some others. In other cases, there is a clear shift toward senior years as the Boomers enter their retirement years.



The Age Trend Insite explores two variables: Average age and Phase of Life.

Average Age Trends provides five important snapshots of a community from five data points; the 2000 census, the last census, the current year estimate, the five year projection and the ten year forecast. These five numbers will indicate the aging direction of a community.

The Phase of Life Trends breaks the population into seven life phases that the population passes through in its life time.

AGE

<i>Average Age Trends</i>	2000	2010	2012	2017	2022
Average Age: Study Area	36.19	38.42	39.33	39.91	40.45
Percent Change		6.2%	2.4%	1.5%	1.3%
Average Age: MI	36.21	38.11	39.13	40.14	41.06
Percent Change		5.2%	2.7%	2.6%	2.3%
Comparative Index	100	101	101	99	99
Median Age: Study Area	35	37	38	38	38



Summary of Average Age Findings:

The Average Age Trend chart shows both history and projection of the change in average age in the study area. The average age of the study area has been rising for several years. It is projected to rise over the next five years.

A comparison to the average age of the state helps to contextualize the significance of the average age of the study area and its history and projection. In the graph above, the study area and state are laid out side by side. The state's average age is estimated to be about the same as the study area.


INSITE #3: AGE TRENDS (continued)

PHASE OF LIFE

The Phase of Life analysis provides insight into the age distribution of a population across the different stages of life experience. It can reveal a community in transition.

Pay special attention to the color codes of the Change column (far right below). It will immediately indicate which phases are increasing or decreasing as a percentage of the population.

Phase of Life	2010	2012	2017	2022	2010%	2012%	2017%	2022%	Estimated 10 Year Change 2012 - 2022
Before Formal Schooling									
Ages 0 to 4	1,660	1,585	1,541	1,676	6.6%	6.2%	5.7%	5.9%	-0.2%
Required Formal Schooling									
Ages 5 to 17	4,803	4,693	4,525	4,394	19.0%	18.3%	16.8%	15.5%	-2.7%
College/Career Starts									
Ages 18 to 24	2,191	2,445	2,776	2,793	8.7%	9.5%	10.3%	9.9%	0.4%
Singles & Young Families									
Ages 25 to 34	2,989	3,064	3,413	3,923	11.8%	11.9%	12.7%	13.9%	1.9%
Families & Empty Nesters									
Ages 35 to 54	6,583	6,472	6,363	6,497	26.1%	25.2%	23.7%	23.0%	-2.2%
Enrichment Years Sing/Couples									
Ages 55 to 64	2,973	3,169	3,567	3,639	11.8%	12.3%	13.3%	12.9%	0.5%
Retirement Opportunities									
Age 65 and over	4,069	4,265	4,704	5,363	16.1%	16.6%	17.5%	19.0%	2.4%



Summary of Phase of Life Findings:

Phase of Life changes reflect the age profile of a community. On average, it takes 2.1 children per woman to replace both mother and father. If the percentage of the population under 20 is declining as a percentage of the total it is likely that the community will see an increase in the more senior aged population possibly due to a decline in birth rates.

In this study area children 17 years of age and younger are declining as a percentage of the total population. Considering the other end of the phases of life, adults 55 years of age and older are increasing as a percentage of the total population.

In summary it may be that the community is aging as children are raised and leave but parents remain.

INSITE #4: SCHOOL AGED CHILDREN TRENDS



Children are the future! Understanding their specific population dynamics is critical for all planners of social and/or educational services. The "School Aged Children" variable is a subset of the "Required Formal Schooling" segment in the Phase of Life profile. It allows one to zoom in more closely on the children who are of formal schooling age.

The school aged population includes all school aged children including those enrolled in public and private schools, those home schooled and children in institutions.

The School Aged Children variable provides a snapshot of three levels of the population that comprise school age children. The three levels roughly correspond to the following.

- Elementary grades
- Intermediate/Middle School grades
- High School Grades

School Aged Children	2010	2012	2017	2010%	2012%	2017%	Estimated 5 Year Change 2012 - 2017
Early Elementary							
Ages 5 to 9	1,732	1,709	1,676	33.3%	33.1%	37.0%	4.0%
Late Elementary-Middle School							
Ages 10 to 14	1,842	1,791	1,717	35.5%	34.7%	37.9%	3.3%
High School							
Ages 15 to 18	1,620	1,668	1,133	31.2%	32.3%	25.0%	-7.2%



Summary of School Aged Children Findings:

Early Elementary children ages 5 to 9 are projected to increase as a percentage of children between 5 and 18 by 4.0%.

Late Elementary to Middle School aged children ages 10 to 14 are increasing as a percentage of children between 5 and 18 by 3.3%.

High School aged children 15 to 18 are declining as a percentage of children between 5 and 18 by -7.2%.

Overall, children are aging through but there is some evidence of a resurgence of children in the younger years.

INSITE #5: HOUSEHOLD AND FAMILY INCOME TRENDS



AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND PER CAPITA INCOME

Average Household Income and Per Capita Income indicate the level of financial resources within a community. Average Household income reflects the average income for each household, whether family or non-family.

In this study area, the estimated current year average household income is \$68,077. The average household income is projected to grow by 1.0% to \$68,763.

Per Capita Income is a measure of the average income of all persons within a household. For family households, this would include all children. It does not mean that each person actually contributes to the average income from work. It is calculated by dividing the aggregate household income by the population.

The estimated per capita income for the current year is \$25,847. The Per Capita Income is projected to grow by 1.1% to \$26,136.



Income Trends	2010	2012	2017	2010%	2012%	2017%	Estimated 5 Year Change 2012 - 2017
Households							
Less than \$10,000	199	217	237	2.1%	2.2%	2.3%	0.1%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	357	317	336	3.7%	3.2%	3.3%	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	974	921	955	10.2%	9.4%	9.3%	-0.1%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	951	1,031	1,075	9.9%	10.6%	10.5%	-0.1%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	1,479	1,490	1,554	15.4%	15.3%	15.2%	-0.1%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,411	2,419	2,493	25.1%	24.8%	24.4%	-0.4%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,552	1,657	1,737	16.2%	17.0%	17.0%	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,193	1,211	1,306	12.4%	12.4%	12.8%	0.4%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	344	404	416	3.6%	4.1%	4.1%	-0.1%
\$200,000 or more	128	87	119	1.3%	0.9%	1.2%	0.3%
Totals	9,588	9,754	10,228				

INSITE #5: HOUSEHOLD AND FAMILY INCOME TRENDS (continued)

FAMILY INCOME

Family income is a sub-set of household income. It excludes non-family households. Family households include two or more persons who are related and living in the same dwelling unit. Children are more likely to live in family households. Non-family households are households in which two or more persons live in the same dwelling unit but are unrelated.

The number of families with annual incomes above \$100,000 is projected to grow over the next five years. For the current year, it is estimated that 22.1% of all family incomes exceed \$100,000 per year. In five years that number is projected to be 22.2%.

<i>Income Trends</i>	2012	2017	2012%	2017%	Estimated 5 Year Change 2012 - 2017
Families					
Less than \$10,000	61	61	0.8%	0.8%	-0.04%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	85	93	1.1%	1.2%	0.05%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	395	417	5.3%	5.4%	0.04%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	568	591	7.7%	7.6%	-0.06%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	1,164	1,223	15.7%	15.7%	0.04%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,046	2,136	27.6%	27.5%	-0.11%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,456	1,521	19.6%	19.6%	-0.07%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,182	1,243	15.9%	16.0%	0.05%
\$150,000-\$199,999	321	338	4.3%	4.4%	0.02%
\$200,000 or more	133	146	1.8%	1.9%	0.08%
Totals	7,411	7,769			

INSITE #6: HOUSEHOLDS AND CHILDREN TRENDS

Diversity of child rearing environments is increasing along with the many other types of growing diversity in the US. To understand this, we begin with the types of households that exist in a community. There are...

- family households with children under 18
- family households without children under 18

The concern of this analysis is family households with children under 18. Of the types of family households with children there are...




- Married couple families
- Single parent families (father or mother)

These two are reported for the study area in the table below.

Households	2010	2012	2017	2010%	2012%	2017%	Estimated 5 Year Change 2012 - 2017
Households with Children under 18							
Married Couple	2,739	2,841	2,993	82.8%	86.6%	86.4%	3.5%
Single Parent	567	441	472	17.2%	13.4%	13.6%	-3.5%

Of the households with children under 18, married couple households are increasing as a percentage while single parent households are decreasing. The graph to the right illustrates this. Bars above the 0% point indicate a family type that is increasing while bars below 0% is decreasing. This provides "insite" into how family households and structures with children are changing in the study area.

A comparison to the state reveals to what extent this community is similar or dissimilar to the state as a whole. The study area's married couple households with children are dissimilar to the state's profile. The percentage of single parent households with children is less than the state.



INSITE #7: MARITAL STATUS TRENDS

MARITAL STATUS BY TYPE

Population by Marital Status considers the number and percentage of persons 15 years of age and greater by their current marital status. Both trend information as well as a comparison to the study area's state marital status types provides two different views of this social reality.


Marital types reported include..

- Never Married (Singles)
- Currently Married
- Divorced
- Separated
- Widowed

	2010	2012	2017	2010%	2012%	2017%	2010 to 2017 Change
Population by Marital Status: Age 15+							
Never Married	4,547	4,677	4,997	22.3%	22.3%	22.4%	0.1%
Married	13,376	13,768	14,644	65.5%	65.6%	65.6%	0.1%
Divorced	1,259	1,285	1,355	6.2%	6.1%	6.1%	-0.1%
Separated	123	126	134	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%
Widowed	1,101	1,124	1,180	5.4%	5.4%	5.3%	-0.1%

In this community, the current year estimate of marital status reveals a community of adults more likely to be married than the state average for adults. The percentage single never married is lower than the state average for adults 15 years and older. Divorce is less prevalent than the state wide average.

The graph to the right illustrates the marital status comparison of the study area to the state. Bars above the 0% point line indicate a marital status type that is more prevalent than the state average while bars below the 0% are below the state average. The length of the bars represent the strength of the difference. They are not percentages.




MARITAL STATUS BY FEMALE AND MALE

Who is more likely to be unmarried, women or men in this community? Consider these findings about this study area:

Women 15 years and older are less likely to be single, never married than men.

Women 15 years and older are more likely to be divorced than men.

Women 15 years and older are more likely to be widowed than men.




INSITE #8: ADULT EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT

The level of educational attainment of a community's adult population is an important indicator of its opportunities and challenges. This analysis will look at the Adult Educational Attainment from three perspectives


First, it looks to see if the level of educational attainment for adults is rising or not. Second, it compares the level of attainment to that of the state of MICHIGAN. (If this is a state report, the comparison will be to itself.) Finally, the table provides the percentages from 2010.

EDUCATIONAL LEVEL ATTAINMENT CHANGE



The educational attainment level of adults has been rising over the past few years. It is projected to rise over the next five years by 0.7%.

EDUCATIONAL LEVEL COMPARED TO THE STATE



	2010	2012	2017	MI 2012%	Comp Index
Population by Educational Attainment: 25+					
Less than 9th Grade	2.1%	2.1%	1.9%	3.5%	60
Some HS	3.4%	3.4%	3.1%	8.2%	41
HS Dipl or GED	32.2%	32.1%	32.5%	31.2%	103
Some College	24.8%	24.8%	24.2%	23.4%	106
Associate Degree	9.4%	9.4%	9.6%	8.1%	116
Bachelor's Degree	19.0%	19.1%	19.2%	15.7%	121
Grad/Profess Deg	9.1%	9.1%	9.5%	9.8%	93


The overall educational attainment of the adults in this community is greater than the state.

INSITE #9: POPULATION BY EMPLOYMENT

Like educational attainment, an analysis of a community by its employment types and categories provides an important “insite” into its socio-economics. This analysis looks at two factors.

First is a report of the employed population 16 and over by the traditional “blue collar” and “white collar” occupations and compares these to the state. Second, it looks at the community by the seven standard census bureau occupations and compares them to the state.

EMPLOYED POPULATION : BLUE COLLAR OR WHITE COLLAR



On the chart to the left, the study area is compared to the state of MICHIGAN. This study area is close to the state average for White Collar workers. It is close to the state average for Blue Collar workers.

EMPLOYED CIVILIAN POPULATION BY OCCUPATION

	2012	MI 2012	Comp. Index	Interpretation
Employed Civilian Pop 16+ by Occupation				
Bldg Maintenance & Cleaning	2.8%	3.7%	76	Well below the state average.
Construction	8.3%	7.7%	108	At about the state average.
Farming, Fishing, & Forestry	0.5%	0.6%	83	Well below the state average.
Food Preparation Serving	5.6%	6.0%	93	At about the state average.
Healthcare Support	2.9%	2.7%	110	Well above the state average.
Managerial Executive	13.7%	13.1%	105	At about the state average.
Office Admin	15.0%	14.1%	106	At about the state average.
Personal Care	2.5%	3.5%	70	Well below the state average.
Production Transportation	15.8%	15.0%	106	At about the state average.
Prof Specialty	19.9%	20.8%	96	At about the state average.
Protective	0.9%	1.8%	48	Well below the state average.
Sales	12.1%	11.1%	110	Well above the state average.

INSITE #10: MOSAIC Segments

Mosaic is a geo-demographic segmentation system developed by and for marketers. Instead of looking at individual demographic variables, a segmentation system clusters households into groups with multiple common characteristics. Demographic variables that generally cluster together would include income, educational levels, presence of children and occupations among others.

This database is developed by Experian. Some find the information helpful because it presents a multi-dimensional view of a community.

In the report below, the top 15 Mosaic Segments of the study area are provided. (If less than 15, rows will be blank.)

NOTE: For a full description please see the DI Demographic Segment Guide (Mosaic) under the Help menu on the Documents gallery.

	2012	2012%	State %	Comp Index	Relative to the MI State Ave.
Mosaic Segments					
C14 Booming with Confidence - Boomers and Boomerangs	2,050	21.02%	2.28%	921	Well above the state average
J34 Autumn Years - Aging in Place	1,806	18.52%	4.38%	423	Well above the state average
F23 Promising Families - Families Matter Most	746	7.65%	1.23%	623	Well above the state average
E20 Thriving Boomers - No Place Like Home	720	7.38%	2.87%	257	Well above the state average
Q62 Golden Year Guardians - Reaping Rewards	590	6.05%	1.53%	395	Well above the state average
B08 Flourishing Families - Babies and Bliss	537	5.51%	1.71%	323	Well above the state average
D15 Suburban Style - Sports Utility Families	525	5.38%	2.32%	232	Well above the state average
Q64 Golden Year Guardians - Town Elders	405	4.15%	4.02%	103	About average for the state
C11 Booming with Confidence - Aging of Aquarius	396	4.06%	3.88%	105	About average for the state
I31 Family Union - Blue Collar Comfort	354	3.63%	3.31%	110	About average for the state
Q63 Golden Year Guardians - Footloose and Family Free	205	2.10%	0.11%	1848	Well above the state average
E21 Thriving Boomers - Unspoiled Splendor	188	1.93%	5.46%	35	Well below the state average
O55 Singles and Starters - Family Troopers	146	1.50%	1.35%	111	
B09 Flourishing Families - Family Fun-tastic	136	1.39%	1.22%	115	Somewhat above the state average
O51 Singles and Starters - Digital Dependents	121	1.24%	2.00%	62	Somewhat below the state average

INSITE #11: CHARITABLE GIVING PRACTICES

Charitable giving practices data provide three perspectives about giving in the study area. First, they indicate how extensive giving is within a study area by showing the percentage of households that are likely to contribute \$200 or more dollars per year to charitable causes.

Second, they project the direction of giving. Giving data is provided across 10 sectors of charity giving. Each community has its own distinctive pattern.

Finally, they show how the study area gives across the 10 sectors in comparison to the state of MICHIGAN. An area may contribute modestly to a charitable sector in terms of actual projected households but it may be well above the state-wide average for such giving.

Interpreting the Table

As the table is studied look at two factors; the number of people or households and the index. The first will provide a sense of the number strength in the study area. The second shows how giving to one of the 10 charitable targets compares to the state. Any "index" over 100 means the study area gives more to a charitable target than is true for the state as a whole.

To make the interpretation of this easier, the following table is sorted by Index. However, be sure to look at the "% of Households" column. A particular charitable sector may have a low index but still a larger percentage than some other of the 10 sectors represented here.

	Hholds	% of HH	Index	Interpretation
Charitable Contributions Last Yr: \$200 Or More				
Public Television-\$200 Or More	87	0.9%	180	Well above the state ave.
Other-\$200 Or More	700	7.3%	145	Well above the state ave.
Social Services/Welfare-\$200 Or More	859	8.9%	144	Well above the state ave.
Political Organization-\$200 Or More	100	1.0%	141	Well above the state ave.
Private Foundation-\$200 Or More	458	4.8%	136	Well above the state ave.
Education-\$200 Or More	490	5.1%	130	Well above the state ave.
Environmental-\$200 Or More	96	1.0%	127	Well above the state ave.
Religious-\$200 Or More	2,587	26.9%	115	Somewhat above the state ave.
Health-\$200 Or More	378	3.9%	103	About average for the state.

Summary of Charitable Contribution Findings:

Overall, it is estimated that households in this study area are somewhat above the state average in their contributions to charities.

More specific findings include:

The number of charitable sectors where giving is well above the state average: 7.

The number of charitable sectors where giving is somewhat below the state average: 0.

The number of charitable sectors where giving is well below the state average: 1.

INSITE #12: RELIGIOUS PRACTICES

Religious practices differ greatly. For some people, the practice of religion is very important. For others less so. While the US continues to be a very religious country, the diversity of practice and beliefs continues to increase.

Summary of Religious Practices:

Both individually and taken together it is estimated that people in this study area are about the same as the state average in religious practices.

	Pop	% of Pop	Index	Interpretation
Adult Religious Practices				
Conservative Evangelical Christian	7,200	37.1%	99	About average for the state.
Important to Attend Religious Services	3,728	19.2%	97	About average for the state.
Consider Myself A Spiritual Person	8,830	45.5%	97	About average for the state.
My Faith Is Really Important To Me	2,898	14.9%	93	Somewhat below the state ave.
Enjoy Watching Religious TV Programs	3,080	15.9%	89	Somewhat below the state ave.

Summary findings:

The number of religious practices well above the state average is 0.

The number of religious practices somewhat below the state average is 2.

The number of religious practices somewhat above the state average is 0.

The number of religious practices well below the state average is 0.

Supporting Information

Interpreting the Report

The ExecutiveInsite report is designed for easy reading. But there are several tools provided in the tables that make this easier.

Change over time: Several trend tables have a column indicating a change over time. Generally these tables begin with the last census, include the current year estimate, a five year projection and if available, a 10 year forecast. The data in each cell represents a percentage change up or down.

Color Coding: Both the "Change over Time" and "Comparative Indexes" columns are color coded to easily spot any change and the direction of that change.

Change:	Increasing	Stable	Declining
Index:	Above Ave	Ave	Below Ave.

Variable Definitions

Full variable definitions can be found in the MI Demographic Reference Guide. Download it free from the Help/Documents menu located on the map screen of your study area on the MissionInsite website.

Indexes: Some variables will have a column called "Comparative Index." An index is an easy way to compare a study area with a larger area. For this report, all comparisons are with the state or states within which the study area falls. The indexes can be interpreted as follows.

- Indexes of 100 mean the study area variable is the same as its base area.
- Indexes greater than 100 mean the study area variable is above the base area. The higher the number, the greater it is above the base.
- Indexes less than 100 mean the study area variable is below the base area. The lower the number, the greater it is below the base.

Support

If you need support with this report, please email MissionInsite at misupport@missioninsite.com.